

Insights Report

Prepared for Glen Ellyn School District #41

Winter 2021 to Spring 2021



How to Use this Report

About this Report

This report provides clear, actionable insight into your students' academic achievement and growth, as measured by the MAP® Growth™ assessments. Report sections address specific questions to identify areas of strength and areas for improvement. Initial sections provide high-level snapshots, while later sections provide more granular detail. This report serves as a resource for communicating the performance of your students to important stakeholders and for informing decisions about resource allocation and program improvement.

Glossary

Growth: change in achievement over time as measured by the MAP Growth assessment

Median growth percentile (MGP): the middle value when a group of students are rank ordered from lowest to highest growth percentile. A group whose MGP value is 50 showed "typical" improvement over time, relative to NWEA™ norms.

Median status percentile (MSP): the middle value when a group of students are rank ordered from lowest to highest status percentile. A group whose MSP value is 50 showed "typical" achievement at that time, relative to NWEA norms.

Projected college readiness: a prediction about whether students are on track for college readiness, based on their observed MAP Growth score and the MAP Growth college readiness benchmark study.

Projected proficiency: a prediction about students' proficiency status on their state summative test (i.e., what proportion met/exceeded state proficiency standards), based on their observed MAP Growth scores and the relevant NWEA linking study.

Status: achievement at a single point in time as measured by the MAP Growth assessment.

Student growth percentile: expresses how a student's growth compares to NWEA national norms. For example, a student with 75th percentile growth showed improvement over time that was better than 75% of similar students across the United States.

Student status percentile: expresses how a student's achievement at a single point in time compared to NWEA national norms. For example, a student with 50th percentile status performed precisely at the mid-point of similar students across the United States.

Effectiveness Levels

This report uses the following levels to describe the achievement and growth of your students.

GROWTH AND STATUS PERCENTILE VALUES

	≥	<
Substantially above	78.5	100
Moderately above	69.5	78.5
Slightly above	57.5	69.5
About average	42.5	57.5
Slightly below	30.5	42.5
Moderately below	21.5	30.5
Substantially below	0	21.5

Note: these levels are from generally accepted statistical thresholds. These colors are used throughout the report to convey effectiveness levels.

Methodology

This report uses median status and growth percentiles to describe the performance of various groups of students, relative to NWEA norms. Refer to the "NWEA 2020 MAP Norms for Student and School Achievement Status and Growth" report for more information about these percentiles and the combinations of subjects and grades for which norms are available.

Table of Contents

STUDENTS TESTED: SPRING 2021

Executive Summary Highlights	4
How are District Students Doing?	5
Which Subjects are Strongest?	6
How is School Status & Growth?	7
School-Level Detailed Scores	8
Are We Proficient & College Ready?	9
Is Our Growth Strong Over Time?	10
How is Status by Grade & Subject?	11
How is Growth by Grade & Subject?	12
How Do Boys and Girls Compare?	13
What About Ethnicity and Gender?	14
How to Dig Deeper Into the Data?	15

	Reading	Math
K	312	311
1	349	348
2	343	344
3	337	338
4	314	316
5	335	335
6	309	337
7	266	269
8	316	311
9		
10		
11		
12		

The numbers indicate the number of students tested by grade and subject in the spring of 2021. Growth numbers are calculated from students who tested in both the winter of 2021 and spring of 2021, which may be a smaller student count.

Growth and achievement metrics may be less reliable for very small groups of students. Throughout the report, an asterisk (*) will be used to indicate when the number of student scores within that group is fewer than 20, and therefore, the metrics are not reported. A blank indicates that no students fell into that group.

Executive Summary Highlights


District median student achievement is 66th percentile and district median student growth is 41st percentile.

Achievement is slightly above average, while growth is slightly below average.

The median status score of all assessments given in spring of 2021 equaled the 66th percentile. One subject was above the district median: mathematics. One subject was below the district median: reading.

For growth, the median score equaled the 41st percentile, which is slightly below average. One subject was above the district median: mathematics. One subject was below the district median: reading.

ACHIEVEMENT AND GROWTH




51% of students should meet state standards in at least one subject.

62% of students are on track to meet college readiness in at least one subject.

MAP Growth results predict that 51% of students will meet proficiency standards on state summative tests in at least one subject. 43% will likely meet standards in ELA and 37% in mathematics. 28% of students are predicted to meet standards in both subjects. 48% of students are predicted to not meet either standard.

62% are demonstrating achievement that is on track to meet MAP Growth college readiness benchmarks in at least one subject. 42% are likely on track in both reading and mathematics. 37% are not meeting these benchmarks in either subject.

PROFICIENCY AND COLLEGE READINESS IN AT LEAST ONE SUBJECT




The district's growth has been declining.

Median growth ranged from about average to slightly below average.

While growth in the most recent year was slightly below average, previous growth was higher. Two years ago, in 2018-19, growth was average.

Growth has been decreasing in Reading. Mathematics has shown declining growth.

2-YEAR DISTRICT GROWTH



How are District Students Doing?

Overall achievement of district students is slightly above the norm.

Median achievement is 66th percentile; median growth is 41st percentile.

District students demonstrated a median achievement level at the 66th percentile on Winter 2021 MAP Growth assessments. This means that one half of all the students' MAP Growth scores (across all subjects measured) were above the 66th percentile. Looking at growth from winter to spring, the median growth percentile for district students was 41, versus a national median of 50. This means that district students' scores grew at a slightly lower rate than typical students.

Top-Quartile Students: a Larger Proportion than is Typical, with Slightly Less Growth than the Norm

37% of district students' scores are in the top achievement quartile when all subjects measured are combined, compared to 25% nationally. These students' scores showed slightly less growth than similar students', since their median growth percentile was at the 37th percentile from winter to spring. Approximately 14% of district students' scores were in the top achievement decile in winter 2021, compared to 10% nationally. This group grew at the 32nd percentile, which is slightly below average compared to the norm.


Middle-Two-Quartiles Students: a Typical Proportion, with Growth Approximately Equal to the Norm

Nationally, about 50% of scores fell within the two middle quartiles, versus 54% of district scores. For the district students who produced these scores, median growth was at the 45th percentile, which is about the same as the national average.

Lowest-Quartile Students: a Smaller Proportion than is Typical, with Growth Approximately Equal to the Norm

Some 9% of district students' scores showed lowest (or bottom) quartile achievement, which is fewer than the 25% that is typical for the country. These students' scores are growing at the same rate as similar students, as their median growth percentile was at the 50th percentile from winter to spring. About 3% of district students demonstrated bottom decile achievement, compared to 10% nationally. This group's scores grew at the 45th median growth percentile from winter to spring, which is about average.

HOW MANY DISTRICT STUDENTS ARE ABOVE OR BELOW AVERAGE?



ARE STUDENTS GROWING EQUALLY?

	Lowest quartile	Middle two quartiles	Top quartile
Reading	46 th	39 th	33 rd
Math	51 st	48 th	40 th
Total	50 th	45 th	37 th

Winter to Spring growth percentiles

Which Subjects are Strongest?

District students have a mixed picture in all subjects tested.

Reading and mathematics are mixed—with high achievement but low growth.

Reading is a high achievement / low growth subject for district students. The median status percentile (MSP) for reading is slightly above the national average. The median growth percentile (MGP) is slightly below average.

Mathematics falls within the high achievement / low growth quadrant. The MSP is above the 50th percentile and slightly above the average range. The MGP is about average.

**District Overall:
High Achievement / Low Growth**

- Median status percentile: 66th
- Median growth percentile: 41st


**Reading:
High Achievement / Low Growth**

- Median status percentile: 69th
- Median growth percentile: 37th

**Mathematics:
High Achievement / Low Growth**

- Median status percentile: 65th
- Median growth percentile: 46th

MEDIAN STATUS AND GROWTH PERCENTILE BY SUBJECT FOR ALL STUDENTS



How is School Status & Growth?

No district schools had high achievement and high growth.

No schools had both low achievement and low growth.


District schools' Median Status Percentiles (MSP) ranged from the 61st to 70th percentiles. All campuses (100%) demonstrated MSPs equal to or above the 50th percentile.

The Median Growth Percentile (MGP) of district schools ranged from the 37th to 45th percentiles. None (0%) of campuses produced MGPs equal to or above the 50th percentile.

One quadrant of the graph had the most schools: upper left quadrant (4 schools or 100%).

The following page shows growth and achievement medians by school and subject.

STATUS AND GROWTH BY SCHOOL



OUTLIER SCHOOL BUILDINGS

These schools are listed because of their extreme performance on both status and growth. Within each category, schools below are ranked by growth.

	Status MSP	Growth MGP
High Achievement/Low Growth		
Benjamin Franklin	70 th	44 th
Abraham Lincoln	68 th	40 th
Churchill	61 st	37 th

Graph Legend





Each dot shows one school building according to the median status and growth percentiles of its MAP Growth assessments. Colored dots represent the schools in each quadrant that are most extreme, relative to both status and growth.

School-Level Detailed Scores

Median achievement and growth percentiles by school and subject are shown below.

Schools are listed alphabetically.

Color coding shows which quadrant they fall into according to high or low status and growth. Bold schools indicate the schools with the largest deviation from median status and growth scores of 50th percentile each.

	High achievement/high growth		High achievement/low growth
	Low achievement/high growth		Low achievement/low growth

School	Reading		Mathematics	
	MSP	MGP	MSP	MGP
Abraham Lincoln	71	36	66	46
Benjamin Franklin	73	39	68	52
Churchill	69	31	57	43
Forest Glen	68	41	63	45

Are We Proficient & College Ready?

43% and 37% of district students are predicted to score at or above proficient levels on state summative tests in reading and mathematics, respectively.

Results predict 54% and 51% of students are on track to be college ready by graduation—in ELA and mathematics, respectively.


For reading, MAP Growth assessment results from Spring 2021 indicate that 43% of district students are likely to meet or exceed minimum standards for proficiency on the state summative tests. For mathematics, 37% are predicted to meet or exceed the minimum standards for proficiency.

MAP Growth assessment results provide college readiness benchmarks, which predict readiness to successfully perform college-level work. By this measure, 54% of students are on track for college readiness in ELA, while 51% are on track in mathematics.


For grade-level results by subject, it is useful to compare predicted proficiency rates of the district with the predicted rates for the nation at large. In the graph below, the orange and green dashes show what percent of students nationally are likely to meet proficiency standards according to the benchmark study. The lower the orange or green dash, the more difficult the proficiency cut score for that grade.

The figure below shows that the predicted proficiency rates for the district are above these national benchmarks for all tested grades with norms in both reading and mathematics.

PROFICIENCY AND COLLEGE READINESS



PERCENT OF STUDENTS PROJECTED TO MEET OR EXCEED STANDARDS BY GRADE AND SUBJECT



Is Our Growth Strong Over Time?

2-year growth is average relative to national norms.


Reading and Mathematics are average, but with variations across years.

Over the two years, students in Glen Ellyn School District #41 have shown growth that was average in the subjects tested by MAP Growth. In 2018-19, growth was average, whereas the most recent year, growth was slightly below average.

Reading has ranged from slightly below average to about average. Overall, the 2-year median was average.

District students produced slightly above average growth in mathematics in 2018-19. The most recent year's growth in mathematics was the same compared to the 2-year trend.

2-YEAR DISTRICT GROWTH



2-YEAR GROWTH PERCENTILE BY SUBJECT

	2018-19	2020-21	Total
Reading	46	37	44
Mathematics	61	46	57
Total	53	41	51

How is Status by Grade & Subject?

All grades had above average status in both subjects.


Mathematics had the highest median status percentile for the district overall. The MSP for individual grades ranged from a low of 58th percentile for 3rd grade to a high of 77th percentile for K.

Reading had the lowest MSP overall in the district. With a MSP of 77, K was the highest, while 6th grade was the lowest with a MSP of 61.

ACHIEVEMENT BY GRADE AND SUBJECT

	Reading	Math
Above average	K 1 st 2 nd 3 rd 4 th 5 th 6 th 7 th 8 th	K 1 st 2 nd 3 rd 4 th 5 th 6 th 7 th 8 th
Average		
Below average		

MEDIAN STATUS PERCENTILE OF EACH GRADE COMPARED TO NATIONAL AVERAGE



How is Growth by Grade & Subject?

K grade had above average growth in both subjects.

3rd and 5th grades had below average growth in both subjects.


Mathematics had the highest median growth percentile for the district overall. The MGP for individual grades ranged from a low of 33rd percentile for 5th grade to a high of 70th percentile for 2nd grade.

Reading had the lowest MGP overall in the district. With a MGP of 58, K was the highest, while 2nd grade was the lowest with a MGP of 31.

GROWTH BY GRADE AND SUBJECT

	Reading	Math
Above average	K	K 2 nd
Average	1 st	1 st 4 th
Below average	2 nd 3 rd 4 th 5 th	3 rd 5 th

MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE OF EACH GRADE COMPARED TO NATIONAL AVERAGE



How Do Boys and Girls Compare?

Both median achievement and growth were about the same for girls and boys, respectively.


There is no significant difference between girls and boys across all grade spans and all subjects.

Girls overall had a median status percentile of 66, which is slightly above average nationally. The median for boys was the 66th percentile, which is slightly above average.


Growth saw a different pattern. Girls had a median growth percentile of 40, which is slightly below average. Boys' growth percentile was 42, which is slightly below the national median.

In grades K-5, girls and boys had relatively the same growth in reading and mathematics.

ACHIEVEMENT & GROWTH



GROWTH BY SUBJECT AND GRADE SPAN



What About Ethnicity and Gender?

Median status ranges from 27th percentile for African-American students to 71st for Caucasian students.

Median growth percentile (MGP) ranges from 29th percentile for Hispanic students to 48th for "Other" students.

Caucasian students had the highest median status percentile (MSP) compared to other racial or ethnic sub-groups. Their MSP was moderately above average compared to the national norm. Their growth was average.

Asian students had the second highest achievement MSP, falling slightly above average nationally. Their growth, however, was below the national norm of 50th percentile, falling slightly below average.


"Other" students had the third highest median status percentile (MSP) compared to other racial or ethnic sub-groups. Their MSP was slightly above average. Their growth was average.

Hispanic students had the next highest achievement MSP, falling moderately below average nationally. Their growth was below the national norm of 50th percentile, falling moderately below average.

African-American students had the lowest median status percentile (MSP) compared to other racial or ethnic sub-groups. Their MSP was moderately below average nationally. Their growth was slightly below average.

The largest difference between female and male students in median growth was in Mathematics for Hispanics, where males were 20th percentile versus 48th for females. The largest difference between female and male students in median achievement was in Reading for African-Americans, where females were 38th percentile versus 19th for males.

PERCENT OF TEST SCORES BY ETHNICITY



Note: percentages above are of tests taken—not student populations

Note: bold numbers below show where the differences between female and male values are substantial.

ACHIEVEMENT AND GROWTH PERCENTILE BY ETHNICITY AND GENDER

		Caucasian		Asian		"Other"		Hispanic		African-American		
		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	
Achievement	Reading	72	68	65	59	70	57	35	28	38	19	
	Math	69	76	66	65	65	61	24	29	29	20	
Growth	Reading	39	38	28	32	47	29	24	39	*	*	
	Math	45	50	38	48	58	55	48	20	*	*	

How to Dig Deeper Into the Data?

Premium Reports for Enhanced Analysis

NWEA offers educators the opportunity to order additional premium reports designed to support easy exploration of your student growth data compared to either the national norms or a custom norm group. These reports provide easy-to-access comparative data that educators can use in a variety of ways. The reports can support school improvement work; inform decisions about program planning, professional learning, and curriculum; and help communicate performance to a wide range of audiences.

The Growth Report is created with selected student growth data, providing a view of student growth by school, achievement level, grade, ethnicity, or gender—as compared to national student norms.

The Similar Schools Report takes you beyond national norm comparisons to reveal how students are growing compared to similar students educated in similar schools across the country, providing you with an “apples-to-apples” comparison.

The Instructional Report contains robust information about how well your students understand instructional topics and detailed objectives—and how their knowledge changes over time.

NWEA Professional Learning and Data Coaching

Analyze, Act, Refine, Grow: Embed Data-Driven Education Throughout Your District

Educators deserve professional learning that takes their unique data challenges and opportunities into account. NWEA data coaching starts by helping you analyze a wide range of local data, including student records, examples of student work, and results from different types of assessments. Together we'll hone your strengths and work to construct and implement data-driven education plans focused on making a positive difference in student learning.

Boost Your Team's Data Confidence to Benefit Every Student's Academic Growth

Using quality assessment data effectively and consistently leads to better learning for all our students. Finding time for reflective activities that transform new learning into changed practices can be tough. Our data coaches quickly energize and empower your teams to move beyond common barriers to student learning.

MAP Foundation Series

MAP® Foundation Series workshops let you connect your MAP Growth data to a variety of needs—instructional, programming, and planning—while suiting your goals and your schedule.

Our mix-and-match professional learning options enable your entire staff to access, understand, and apply your school's or district's data. Talk to us about your needs: we're happy to create a custom plan that works for you!

For more information on the Insights Report or any of our premium reports, coaching, and professional learning, please contact your partner accounts representative.



NWEA is a not-for-profit organization that supports students and educators worldwide by providing assessment solutions, insightful reports, professional learning offerings, and research services. Visit [NWEA.org](https://www.nwea.org) to find out how NWEA can partner with you to help all kids learn.

© 2021 NWEA. NWEA, MAP, and Partnering to help all kids learn are registered trademarks, and MAP Growth is a trademark, of NWEA in the US and in other countries